

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

124

CRM-M-32934-2016 (O&M).
Decided on: October 24, 2016.

Sushma

.. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondent(s)

* * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

* * *

PRESENT Mr.Jainainder Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Application filed by the complainant-petitioner for conducting further investigation has been dismissed by the trial Court vide impugned order dated 16.5.2016.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the investigating agency has not recorded the statement of the father-in-law of the deceased regarding accused having made extra judicial confession before him.

It has been argued by the counsel for the petitioner that the recoveries have not been effected by the police during the course of investigation.

After hearing the counsel for the petitioner, I am of the opinion that the petitioner seeks a direction to the investigating agency to investigate the matter in the manner desired by the complainant. No Court can interfere or guide the investigation to be done in a particular manner. There does not appear to be scope for any interference as no statutory violation or any mandatory instruction seems to have been violated warranting interference in the order dated 16.5.2016.

The petition is dismissed without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to avail any other legal remedy available to the petitioner in accordance with law.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

October 24 2016.

rka

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No