

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M- No.34829 of 2013
Date of decision-14.01.2016**

Anil Kumar & another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Kewal Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Hemant Bassi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aproov Garg, D.A.G.Haryana,
for the respondent.

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that after filing of the charge-sheet (challan) charges were framed on 23.12.2013.

In view of the above, learned proxy counsel representing the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the present petition to enable the petitioners to challenge the order of framing of charges before the Court of Session by way of revision petition at the first instance.

Learned counsel for the State has no objection to the above prayer.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with a direction that if the proposed revision petition along with an application for condonation of delay is filed within three weeks' of passing of this order before Court of Session, the

application for condonation of delay shall be considered in view of the fact that the petitioners have approached this Court.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

January 14, 2016
vanita