

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No.34803 of 2013

Decided on:-12.05.2016.

Paramjit Singh and othersPetitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Jagjit Gill, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that since during the course of trial, the parties have entered into a compromise and as a consequence thereof, the complaint has been withdrawn, present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

May 12, 2016
Anjal

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**