

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32846 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.09.2016

Geeta and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Chander Shekhar, Advocate for
Mr. D.R. Punia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and there is no legal impediment to their marriage. They have solemnized marriage. Their marriage is opposed by respondent No.3.

Learned counsel contends that inadvertently State of Punjab has been mentioned in place of State of Haryana in the memo of parties. Accordingly, the Registry is directed to amend the memo of parties.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned State counsel, in

the Court.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the assertions made in the petition, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.1-SP, Karnal, to take necessary action on the representation dated 14.09.2015 (Annexure P-5) moved by the petitioners and provide necessary protection to the petitioners in accordance with law, if he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is threatened at the hands of respondent No.3.

Disposed of.

19.09.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No