

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-31985-2014

Date of Decision: February 19, 2016

Dhan Singh and another		Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Haryana and another		Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Shashi Kumar Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana,
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Pardeep Goyal, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J. (Oral):

Mr. Pardeep Goyal states at the bar that respondent No. 2 would adopt the reply filed by respondent No. 1-State of Haryana and he does not want to file separate or additional reply.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from SI Rajbir Singh of Police Station, Sector 55, Faridabad, states that vide order dated 11.6.2015, learned Trial Court after finding a *prima facie* case has framed charges against the petitioners and,

as such, the petitioners, if so advised, have to challenge the said order of framing of charges.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 has also adopted the above argument.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that he has no instructions to approach the revisional Court to challenge the order of framing of charges.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with the observation that if so advised, the petitioners may challenge the order of framing of charges in accordance with law.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

February 19, 2016
Pkapoor