

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-328 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 7.1.2016

Mridul and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners in person with Mr. Ankit Aggarwal, Advocate.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 5.1.2016. The marriage certificate has been placed on file as Annexure-P-1. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-2. The true copy of Matriculation School Examination Certificate, showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 1 and the true translation copy of Aadhar Card (UIAI), showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 2 have been placed on file as Annexures-P-3 and P-4 respectively.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 5.1.2016(Annexure-P-5) before the Superintendent

CRM No. M-328 of 2016 (O/M)

of Police, District Jalandhar (respondent No. 2), for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents No. 4 to 6.

Since the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, is not a party, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, this order shall not be construed as a recognition of valid marriage between the parties.

Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

7.1.2016.
sjks