

103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.32795 of 2016
Date of Decision : 19.09.2016**

Bhupinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. S.K. Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for
grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.188 dated
07.08.2016, registered under Sections 382, 341, 323, 324, 294, 148, 149

IPC & Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Sohana, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

Learned counsel has argued that similarly situated co-accused were granted the benefit of anticipatory bail by order dated 16.09.2016 passed in CRM-M-31642-2016.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. K.S. Pannu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

Copy of the per book has been supplied.

Learned Deputy Advocate General on instructions from ASI Paramjit Singh has argued that actually on that date the basic premise of the order was that only Section 382 IPC was non-bailable but even Section 324 IPC is also a non-bailable offence. He has however very fairly accepted that the injury attracted Section 324 IPC was not attributed to the petitioner but was attributed to Gurmeet Singh.

In the circumstances, I do not deem it appropriate to take a different view to that taken in the case of co-accused.

Petition stands disposed of in the same terms as CRM-M-31642-2016.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

September 19, 2016
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No