

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 32780 of 2016

Date of decision : September 22, 2016

Parveen @ Balle

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Rajbir Sehrawat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG Haryana

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.189, dated 19.5.2016, registered under Sections 307/34 of the IPC and Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Kharkhoda, District Sonipat.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner was not named in the FIR even though the victims knew him. He has now been in custody for the last four months; victims have been discharged

from hospital and no specific attribution is there even in the subsequent statement/s.

Learned DAG Haryana, on instructions from ASI Sandeep Kumar, has accepted these factual assertions.

In these circumstances, without commenting upon the merits of the case, and keeping in view the period of custody already suffered by the petitioner, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

September 22, 2016
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No