

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(255)

CRM-M-34623-2013

Date of Decision:-28.09.2016

Balwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present : Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rimplejit Kaur, Assistant A.G. Punjab.

Mr. R.S. Rawat, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.2 to 5.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.53 dated 13.06.2011 registered under Sections 279 and 304-A of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Kanwan, the petitioner is being prosecuted.

Now, there is a compromise has been arrived at between the parties (Annexure P-2). Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the counsel for the complainant and the dependents of the deceased who died in a road accident stated that they have received ₹80,000/- from the petitioner-truck driver by way of compensation in addition to the sum of ₹7,00,000/- received in MACT case.

In my opinion, since the parties have arrived at compromise with the intervention of prominent persons of both the parties, and the

complainant appears to have been compensated as above, FIR No.53 dated 13.06.2011 registered under Sections 279 and 304-A of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Kanwan is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

September 28, 2016

Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No