

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM M-32651 of 2016
Date of Decision: October 18, 2016

Hitesh JainPetitioner
Vs.

UT of ChandigarhRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

Present:- Mr. P.S. Hundal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Dinesh Trehan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sukant Gupta, APP for UT.

-. -

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is alleged to have been involved in a scam of registration of vehicles under fake names, wrong addresses registered on the basis of fabricated Aadhar cards, PAN cards and insurance policies. The petitioner is alleged to have connived with the officials of RLA office, Chandigarh and having caused loss to the Government exchequer by presenting invoices for lesser value of the vehicles.

So far as petitioner Hitesh Jain is concerned, he appeared before the Registering and Licencing Authority at the time of deposit of the registration file and impersonated as Amit Goyal and used photograph of Mohit Kumar.

With the assistance of State counsel, I have gone through the record which indicate that the registered owners who are beneficiaries having obtained registration numbers have not been arrested and challan

stands already presented against the officials, private persons as well as the petitioner.

The petition has been opposed, inter-alia on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioner.

I have considered all the facts and circumstances of the case. Without expression of any opinion on the merits of the case, it is sufficient to observe that the petitioner has been in custody w.e.f. July 6, 2016; challan having been presented; chances of tampering with the evidence being remote, the petitioner can be granted the concession of bail.

Petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/ surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court without prejudice to the rights of the investigating agency to continue with the determination of the culpability of the petitioner in case other material is available and subject to the conditions that the petitioner will not leave India without the permission of the Court and will not commit the similar offence of which he is accused of during the pendency of the trial. In case of any such eventuality, it will be open to the prosecution agency to seek the cancellation of bail.

Grant of bail to the petitioner will not prejudice the rights of the investigating agency for further investigate into the conduct of the petitioner.

October 18, 2016
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/ No.
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No.