

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-32619-2016

Date of decision:17.10.2016

Manoj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Panwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar, Asstt. AG, Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

The petitioner is alleged to have received a sum of Rs. 14,00,000/- from the complainant, namely Anil Kumar, by inducing him to purchase a house, showing himself as the owner of the same, but the complainant later on, found that the house in dispute did not belong to the petitioner.

It will certainly be a debatable issue whether without determining the ownership of the petitioner, the complainant paid a huge amount of Rs. 14,00,000/- as earnest money to the petitioner.

The challan has already been presented.

Without expression of any opinion on merits, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court, in view of the fact that he has been in custody, w.e.f 08.06.2016.

October 17, 2016
apurva

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No