

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc.No.M-32617 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 04th October, 2016**

Amit alias Mita

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Shokeen Singh Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for the respondent(s)-State.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., seeking regular bail in case FIR No.216 dated 02.06.2014, registered at Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad under Sections 395, 120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the similarly situated co-accused was granted bail by this Court vide order dated 10.04.2015, passed in Criminal Misc.No.M-8010 of 2015, after he had undergone only 09 months of custody and the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 year and 06 months.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, is not in a position to deny that the similarly situated co-accused was granted bail. However, he

Criminal Misc.No.M-32617 of 2016 (O&M)

has argued that three cases are pending against the petitioner.

Be that as it may, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and particularly the fact that the petitioner has undergone nine months more custody than a similarly situated co-accused, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Ordered accordingly. Bail to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Petition stands disposed of.

04th October, 2016
mamta

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No