

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

234

**CRM-M No.31789 of 2014
Date of Decision: 14.01.2016.**

Manphool Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Tapan Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Pannu, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate,
for respondent No.8.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks more time
to place on record the documents.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the respondent
No.8 submits that the instant petition has been rendered
infructuous.

Dismissed as having been infructuous.

January 14, 2016

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**