

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-326 of 2016

Decided on:-07.01.2016.

Sandeep and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.5 and 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and have solemnized their marriage in accordance with law. However, respondents No.5 and 6 are not accepting their marriage and are adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal means.

Learned counsel further submits that in view of imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners, they had moved a representation dated 06.01.2016 (Annexure P-6) to respondent No.2 i.e. the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 at this stage.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned counsel for the State during the course of the day.

Without going into the validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate remedial measures, as warranted by law, on the representation dated 06.01.2016 (Annexure P-6) submitted by the petitioners.

In the meantime, necessary order be passed to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.5 and 6.

January 07, 2016
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE