

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.3245 of 2016.

Decided on:-01.02.2016.

Satish Kumar.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.PC for issuance of an appropriate direction to respondents No.1 and 2 to take appropriate action against respondent No.4 and further to register an FIR against the culprits, who were apprehended in a truck containing drums of cow fat and having forged papers.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Let requisite number of complete copies of the paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that at this stage, he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to take appropriate action on representation dated 27.9.2015 (Annexure P-2).

Heard.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the contents of representation (Annexure P-2) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

February 01, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE