

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-32448 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.10.2016

Paras

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Pankaj Kundra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by SI Bhagwan Dass.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 62 dated 04.04.2016, registered under Sections 306 and 120 IPC, at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula.

It is contended that the petitioner along with his wife approached this Court by way of filing CRM-M-44291 of 2015 which came up for hearing on 28.12.2015. The petitioner and his wife were directed to appear before the SSP for redressal of their grievance who was directed to take action after considering the apprehension of life and liberty raised by the petitioner and his wife. Subsequent to the marriage, the father of petitioner No.1 in CRM-M-44291 of 2015 and father in-law of the petitioner committed suicide which is foundation of the FIR in the present case. He further states that the as many as 10 family members

including the distant relatives have been framed in the instant case. Except the petitioner, all have been granted the concession of bail. He refers to Annexures P-2 and P-3 to contend that the petitioner and the daughter of the deceased had a love affair which fructified in marriage. The petitioner is not involved in any other FIR and he is in custody since 04.04.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the prayer of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the challan stands presented; the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR; he is in custody since 04.04.2016 and considering the factum of the transcript exchanged between the petitioner and daughter of the deceased, the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

03.10.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No