

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31554-2015

Date of decision: 26.05.2016

BALRAJ

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Tara Chand Dhanwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Supriya Arora, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Kshitija Mittal, Advocate,
for Mr. Pardeep Solath,
for respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 20.08.2015 passed by learned Sub Divisional Magistrate, Hansi vide which while exercising the powers under Section 145 Cr. P.C. the receiver has been appointed.

It comes out that whatever may be the ground, the said order is revisable.

In the circumstances, the petitioner is relegated to avail remedy of revision before the Session Court first. If the revision is filed within 30 days from today, the Sessions Court shall entertain and decide the same on merits.

In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 26, 2016

Suresh Kumar
2016.05.28 11:34
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document