

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32414-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.09.2016

Suraj @ Chattu

...Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Briz Mohan, Advocate,
for Mr. S.S. Sarwara, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Chahal, APP, Chandigarh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.05 dated 04.01.2015, registered under Sections 307, 452, 398 and 427 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Manimajra, Chandigarh.

Contents that the petitioner is neither named in the FIR, nor any role has been ascribed to him. He was allowed regular bail on 05.05.2015 and thereafter, he was regularly appearing before the trial Court. However, on 08.07.2016, the petitioner was though present in the Court but his presence could not be recorded. Thereafter, he was arrested on 13.09.2015 in another case and was produced before the trial Court on 14.01.2016 on production warrants.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the U.T. Administration has opposed the present petition.

Heard.

Considering the fact that except the solitary absence, the petitioner has not misused the concession of bail and no specific injury has been attributed to him, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

However, anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

19.09.2016

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)

JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No