

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -32403 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.11.2016

Vijay Sood

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by Balraj Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.279 dated 12.08.2016, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC, at Police Station Farakpur, District Yamuna Nagar.

On 15.09.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Petitioner is alleged to be running a Clinic on the basis of a forged degree of BAMS (Bachelor of Ayurvedic Medicines and Surgery), issued by Ravinder Nath Mukherjee Ayurved Medical University, Motihari, East Champaran, Bihar.

Apprehending arrest on the basis of complaint of Inderjit Singh, the petitioner moved an application for pre-arrest bail which has been dismissed by the lower Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on

account of personal enmity with the complainant, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. He has further submitted that on account of licence of the petitioner having already been cancelled by the competent authority, the petitioner is no more running any Clinic and is being unnecessarily harassed on account of the present FIR.

Notice to Advocate General, Haryana for 08.11.2016.

Meanwhile, an interim direction is issued that the petitioner will join investigation on or before 24.09.2016. In case of petitioner doing so, he will be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer.

Report regarding present status of the investigation, may be intimated by the investigating officer, on the next date of hearing. ”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 15.09.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 15.09.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

08.11.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No