

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Date of Decision : 20.12.2016.
RFA No.1141 of 2001 (O&M)**

The State of Haryana and another

.....Appellants

Versus

Mohar Pal

..... Respondent

**Cross Objection No.102-CI of 2008 in/and
RFA No.1239 of 2001 (O&M)**

State of Haryana

.....Appellant

Versus

Hakikat Rai Nanda

..... Respondent

**Cross Objection No.104-CI of 2008 in/and
RFA No.1241 of 2001 (O&M)**

State of Haryana

.....Appellant

Versus

Om Parkash Khanna

..... Respondent

RFA No.1391 of 2001 (O&M)

State of Haryana and another

.....Appellants

Versus

Khem Chand and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent/cross-objector.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of RFA Nos. 1141, 1239, 1241 and 1391 of 2001 and cross objection Nos. 102 and 104-CI of 2008.

Learned State counsel submits that vide judgment dated 23.02.2012, rendered in RFA No.2848 of 1993 (Raghuvans Kumar Bhatia Vs. State of Haryana), and other connected matters, this Court had dismissed the appeals, arising out of the same acquisition preferred by the landowners. However, in Civil Appeal No.11042 of 2014 (Satbir Singh Vs. State of Haryana), preferred against the judgment of this Court, the Hon'ble Supreme Court had enhanced the compensation to ₹175/- per square yard. Thus, the State appeals are required to be dismissed, whereas, the cross-objections filed by the landowners be disposed of in terms of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Satbir Singh (supra).

Ordered accordingly.

20.12.2016

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned/non-speaking
Whether reportable: Yes/No