

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32318-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: September 23, 2016

Aruna

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Jagmohan Singh Ghumman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, D.A.G., Haryana,
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Aruna has filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 146 dated 12.04.2016, under Sections 306/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Badshahpur, District Gurgaon.

Notice of motion was issued in this case.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, learned D.A.G., Haryana, has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate has appeared on behalf of the complainant and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that FIR in the present case was registered on the statement of Vimal Kumar brother of deceased Prem Parkash. As per the allegation in the FIR, Prem Parkash had lent money to Lokesh, Naresh, Leelu and Aruna. There is also allegation that Lokesh etc. gave beatings to the deceased and his wife.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find that the petitioner has been in custody since 20.05.2016. She is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as she is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case, therefore, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

23.09.2016

sp

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No.