

282.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32297-2016

Date of decision:04.11.2016

Ranjit Kaur @ Rani and others

... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr.Tejinder Pal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Madahar, AAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. G.B.S. Gill, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of F.I.R. No.82 dated 08.06.2016 under Sections 323, 324, 506, 34 of IPC (Section 326 of IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Sadar Sangrur (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 19.08.2016 (Annexure P-2).

This Court vide order dated 14.09.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sangrur and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned

Magistrate has submitted report dated 14.10.2016 to the effect that the parties have voluntarily entered into compromise at their own without any pressure, coercion or any influence.

Respondent No.2-complainant, namely, Amarjit Kaur has made her statement with regard to compromise before learned Magistrate on 07.10.2016. The same is reproduced as under:-

“The FIR 82 dated 08.06.2016 under Sections 323, 324, 506, 34 IPC and later on added Section 326 IPC P.S. Sadar Sangrur was registered on my statement. Now I have effected compromise with the accused persons on 19.08.2016, the copy of the compromise is Ex.A1. The compromise has been effected with the help of respectables of our Village. The compromise has been effected with my free will, without any pressure or coercion. I have no further grudge remained against the accused with regard to the present case. I have no objection if the FIR is quashed against the accused namely Ranjit Kaur @ Rani, Naranjan Singh and Binder Kaur.”

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant F.I.R.

Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and F.I.R. No.82 dated 08.06.2016

under Sections 323, 324, 506, 34 of IPC (Section 326 of IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Sadar Sangrur (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners on the basis of compromise dated 19.08.2016 (Annexure P-2).

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

04.11.2016
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.