

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -32287 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.11.2016

Harish and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Rajvir Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.178 dated 26.05.2015, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Sector 5, Panchkula, District Panchkula.

On 14.09.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioners have repaid the entire amount of Rs.11 lacs. As the case is based on the documentary evidence, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required.

Notice of motion for 29.11.2016.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:

1.That they shall make themselves available for

*interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2.That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
3.That they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioners have repaid the entire amount to Punjab National Bank, Branch Sector 10, Panchkula. The petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 14.09.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

29.11.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable: Yes No