

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32276 of 2016.

Decided on:-29.09.2016.

Harpinder Singh alias Bhinder and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Amardeep Singh Mann, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.PC is for grant of regular bail to the petitioners, namely, Harpinder Singh alias Bhinder and Lakhveer Singh alias Lakhi in case FIR No.88 dated 20.11.2015 under Section 382 IPC registered at Police Station City Budhlada, District Mansa.

As per FIR, on 20.11.2015 after encashing the cheque and obtaining Rs.2,68,985/- from HDFC Bank, Budhlada, the complainant put the said amount in a plastic bag along with passbook and cheque book. Thereafter, he put the said amount in his scooter and was going to the house of commission agent Sham Lal. At about 1.15 p.m., the complainant parked his scooter near the house of Sham Lal and when after taking out the cash bag from his scooter, he was entering in the house of Sham Lal for

settlement of account, one healthy young man appeared before him. He snatched the cash bag and tried to flee under the over-bridge. On this, the complainant followed him while raising alarm. However, the accused sat on a motorcycle of black colour of his companion which was already start and fled away from the spot towards ITI Chowk, Budhlada along with the money. Due to perturbation, he could not read the registration number of the motorcycle properly.

During investigation, on 5.3.2016, on the statement of Sukhwinder Singh son of Kaka Singh, resident of Thuthianwali, the petitioners were nominated in the present case. They were arrested in another case bearing FIR No.24 dated 8.3.2016 under Sections 399 and 402 IPC and under Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Sherpur. During interrogation, they have admitted the snatching committed by them in the present FIR and accordingly, they were arrested in this case on 25.3.2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that though the petitioners were arrested on 25.3.2016 and since then, they are in custody, but they have been falsely implicated in the case. The petitioners have been made scapegoats and no identification parade was ever got conducted by the police.

Learned State counsel, on the other hand, has submitted that the petitioners are also involved in the other cases of similar nature including FIR No.24 dated 8.3.2016 under Sections 399 and 402 IPC and under

Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Sherpur.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the nature of offence and the involvement of the petitioners in other cases of similar nature, this Court does not find any merit in the present petition and the same is, accordingly, dismissed.

September 29, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether Reportable: Yes / No