

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-3227 of 2016

Date of Decision: 29.01.2016

Baljeet Kaur and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Shranav Katyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C for quashing of FIR No.54 dated 17.06.2015 under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC registered at Police Station Kabarwala, District Shri Muktsar Sahib.

After arguing the case at length, when the Court is not inclined to interfere as the charges have been framed against the petitioners, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to raise all pleas before the trial Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

However, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for exemption of personal appearance of the petitioners, who are un-married sisters of husband of the complainant.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for

the petitioners, the personal appearance of the petitioners is exempted subject to giving an undertaking that the statements of the witnesses would be recorded in their absence and in the presence of their counsel appearing before the trial Court or any other conditions, if any, to be imposed by the trial Court. The petitioners shall be present in case they are required by the trial Court. The petitioners shall also not leave the country without the prior permission of the Court.

29.01.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE