

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-3222 of 2016
Date of decision: 27.10.2016**

Sandeep and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. K.D.S. Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate,
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 32 dated 14.05.2015, under Sections 498-A/328/506/323/34 IPC, registered at Police Station, Women, Sonipat, District Sonipat (Annexure P-1), is sought on the basis of compromise effected between the parties.

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Jagjit Singh-respondent No.2 to the effect that marriage of his sister-Manita (respondent No.3) was solemnized with Sandeep-petitioner No.1 on 09.01.2008 as per Hindu rites and rituals. However, soon after the marriage, in-laws of Manita started harassing and humiliating her on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry. She was given beatings on so many occasions. It is also alleged that on 13.05.2015, her in-laws had mixed some poisonous substance in her milk. In this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of trial, with the intervention of

respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties. As per compromise, petitioner No.1 and respondent No.3 have filed a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act for dissolution of marriage by way of decree of divorce with mutual consent.

In compliance with the order dated 12.08.2016 passed by this Court, parties have got recorded their statements before the trial Court. In this regard, a status report has been received from the Additional Sessions Judge, Sonipat. As per report, Jagjit Singh-complainant (respondent No.2) made his statement on 30.08.2016 to the effect that he has compromised the matter with accused-petitioners at his own free will and without any pressure or coercion. He has no objection, if the aforesaid FIR is quashed. Manita-respondent No.3 (sister of complainant) also made a separate statement to the effect that the matter has been compromised between the parties. She has taken divorce from Sandeep-petitioner No.1 with mutual consent. She does not want to take any action against her husband-Sandeep and has no objection, if the aforesaid FIR is quashed. Joint statement of Sandeep, Karam Chand and Bimla-petitioners was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements given by the parties, this court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 32 dated 14.05.2015, under Sections

498-A/328/506/323/34 IPC, registered at Police Station, Women, Sonipat, District Sonipat, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

27.10.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No