

CRM-M-32165 of 2016

[1]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 125

CRM No.M-32165 of 2016

Date of decision: September 14, 2016

Chhatar Pal

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Proxy counsel for
Mr. Surinder Mohan Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

The instant petition has been preferred by the petitioner-
Chhatar Pal for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to submit report
under Section 202 Cr.P.C. to the concerned court before the date fixed by
the trial court.

2. The grievance of the present petitioner is that the private
respondents would manage the report to their satisfaction, which is sought
to be submitted in the trial court simply because one of the respondent is a
practicing Advocate at Ambala.

CRM-M-32165 of 2016

[2]

3. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, the grievance of the petitioner is found to be misconceived or illusory simply because the trial court itself has sought the report under Section 202 Cr.P.C. from the respondent No.2. Station House Officer, Police Station City, Ambala who has to submit the same, after due verification of the allegation levelled in the complaint. Instant petition has been filed by the petitioner even prior to the date fixed by the trial court for submission of report by the SHO. No ground is made out for the issuance of direction sought by the petitioner.

4. Dismissed.

September 14, 2016
Avin/Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No