

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-32162 of 2016
Date of decision : 02.12.2016**

Adish Dutta & anr.

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Sandeep K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana

Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Advocate for respondent No. 2

RITU BAHRI , J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 431 dated 12.11.2013 under Sections 406/498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Shivaji Colony, Rohtak is being sought on the basis of panchayati compromise deed dated 17.08.2016 (P-2)

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner No. 1 on 25.11.2012. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. One child was born out of this wedlock. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, the F.I.R was registered against the petitioners on account of bringing less dowry and harassment caused by the petitioners.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide panchayati compromise deed dated 17.08.2016 (P-2).

In compliance of order dated 14.09.2016, report dated 24.10.2016 of Judl. Magistrate 1st Class, Rohtak, has been received in this

regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. The complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioners. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the joint statement given by the petitioners.

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 24.10.2016 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 431 dated 12.11.2013 under Sections 406/498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Shivaji Colony, Rohtak, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

02.12.2016
G Arora

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>