

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-32161 of 2016

Date of decision : 02.12.2016

Sumer Rana

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana & anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, D.A.G. Haryana

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 60 dated 15.02.2015 under Sections 354(A)(1)/506 IPC, registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Rohtak, is being sought on the basis of compromise deed dated 02.09.2016 (Annexure P-2).

The allegations against the petitioner that he met the complainant on 14.02.2015 at Bus Stand Rohtak and tried to give her a flower and expressed his love. Thereafter, complainant scolded the petitioner but he started teasing her without her consent.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide compromise deed dated 02.09.2016 (Annexure P-2).

In compliance of order dated 14.09.2016, report dated 10.10.2016 of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Bathinda, has been received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. Complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties with the intervention of respectables of the village She does not want to

proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioner. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statement given by the petitioner.

Consequently, in view of the status report and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429***, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of ***Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 60 dated 15.02.2015 under Sections 354(A)(1)/506 IPC, registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Rohtak, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of.

02.12.2016
G Arora

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>