

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT

CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-35643-2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 4, 2016

Tarvinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. M.K. Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after filing of the charge-sheet (report under Section 173, Cr.P.C.), the charges have also been framed, therefore, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to

challenge the order of framing of charges, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the State has no objection to the above prayer.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

February 4, 2016
Pkapoor