

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.32110 of 2016
Date of decision: November 09, 2016**

Vishal Parbhakar	...Petitioner
Versus	
State of Punjab	...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for
 Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

 Mr. R.P.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab
 for the respondent-State.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By virtue of the instant petition preferred under Section 438 Cr.P.C., petitioner was granted the interim protection with direction that in the event of arrest, he be released on interim bail by the Arresting Officer subject to his joining investigation but it has been pointed out by learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jatinder that the petitioner has not complied with the aforesaid order dated September 14, 2016. Even otherwise, it has emerged during arguments that another criminal case i.e. FIR No.201/2013 registered at Police Station Cantonment, Amritsar is also there against the petitioner in which he has already been declared proclaimed offender. Thus, it is evident that due to PO proceedings in above referred case, he has failed to join the investigation. On account of non-cooperation of the petitioner, and non-compliance of the order dated September 14, 2016, he does not deserve the concession of pre-arrest bail.

Dismissed.

November 09, 2016 <i>m. sharma</i>	(JASPAL SINGH) JUDGE
--	---------------------------------

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No