

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-31194 of 2015

Date of decision: January 18, 2016.

Satender Kumar

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. S.K. Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Rohit Rana, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

Present petition has been filed for quashing of FIR No.345 dated 26.11.2014 registered under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC at Police Station Farrukh Nagar, District Gurgaon.

The report of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Pataudi has been received. The learned Magistrate has recorded statements of complainant Ram Kishan and accused-petitioner Satender Kumar. The complainant in his statement before the learned Magistrate has admitted that the parties have compromised and that the entire money has been returned. He has also admitted the compromise.

In view of the fact that it was a financial dispute between the parties and the complainant has compromised the same with the accused Satender Kumar, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.345 dated 26.11.2014 registered under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC at Police Station Farrukh Nagar, District Gurgaon along with all consequential proceedings stands quashed.

January 18, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge