

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-31139 of 2015

Date of decision : 12.05.2016

Dr. Pradeep Kumar

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. H.K. Aurora, Advocate and
Mr. N.P. Sinha, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG Haryana

Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate
for the complainant

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 367 dated 16.07.2015 registered at Police Station Rohtak Civil Lines, Rohtak under Sections 498-A, 406, 323, 313, 506, 34 IPC.

On the last date of hearing, both the parties were directed to appear before the Court. They have appeared. There are acute difference between the parties and the complainant is not ready for any settlement. She wants the petitioner to be tried in the FIR.

Admittedly, it was a case of run away marriage. The complaint was given by father of the girl. The petitioner is a doctor in PGI Rohtak. His parents are residents of Bihar. The complainant was suffering from Bi-Polar Affective Disorder and was taking treatment from the petitioner. Apparently, they developed a liking and got married. Differences arose very soon.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant states that no recovery has been effected.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that in compliance of order dated 14.09.2015 the petitioner has joined the investigation and no bills or photographs were handed over to the police.

The petitioner has joined the investigation, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 14.09.2015 is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner will join the investigation as and when required by the police and will not tamper with evidence or hamper the investigation and will not leave India without permission of the Court and will comply with the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

May 12, 2016

reena

**(ANITA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**