

234

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31990 of 2016

Date of decision: September 22, 2016

Jaiveer and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Bipin Ghai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Paras Talwar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.766 dated 24.12.2015, under Sections 201, 203, 212, 213, 214, 217, 218, 342, 385, 166A, 180, 420, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 15, 17, 18, 27A, 29 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substance Act, 1985 and Section 13 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 registered at Police Station Samalkha, District Panipat, petitioners were arrested on 05.01.2016 and are in jail since then.

Admittedly, challan has been filed before the competent Court. Upon hearing learned counsel for the rival parties and upon perusal of the documents on record, prima-facie, I find that there is no point in continuing the detention of the petitioners in jail. The petitioners are under suspension and there is no likelihood of

tampering with the prosecution evidence since the witnesses are official. The trial will take its own time to conclude.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. Petitioners be released on bail subject to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned who may impose such conditions as deem fit and proper. Petitioners shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence, threaten or exercise any influence on the prosecution witnesses. In case of violation of the above conditions, liberty is reserved in favour of the prosecution/complainant to apply for cancellation of bail.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

September 22, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No