

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.3195 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.02.2016**

Inderpal Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Vaneet Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State assisted by ASI Sarabjit Singh.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Present petition is for grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioner/accused-Inderpal Singh in case FIR No.261 dated 25.10.2015, under Sections 465, 467, 468, 471 of the Indian Penal Code, registered with Police Station Rama Mandi, District Jalandhar City (**Annexure P-1**).

As per the allegations of the complainant-Gurmail Singh, who is stated to be a B.S.F. personnel, present petitioner-Inderpal Singh has misappropriated an amount of Rs.50,000/- by forging his signatures, whereas his co-accused wife-Gurpreet Kaur has also forged a receipt from the complainant landlord for a payment of Rs.2,20,000/-. Both the accused thus have forged certain documents to grab the house of the complainant on rent with them.

It is contended that the petitioner/accused along with his wife were admittedly residing in the house in question on a proper tenancy. Since the relation between the parties had strengthened, the complainant had

agreed to sell the house for a consideration of Rs.15 Lacs and part payment of Rs.9 Lacs odd was also made. Thereafter, the reasons known to the complainant, efforts were made to forcibly dispossess the petitioner/accused and his wife. A suit for permanent injunction also stands filed.

Be that as it may, it is contended that the petitioner is in custody since 25.10.2015 and on completion of the investigations, challan stands presented on 21.01.2016.

It is submitted that the co-accused wife Gurpreet Kaur has already been released on regular bail by this Court, vide order dated 05.01.2016 **(Annexure P-8)**.

Learned State Counsel, assisted by ASI Sarabjit Singh, does not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, keeping in view the parity of treatment, custody period and the facts as noticed above, this Court finds that petitioner/accused deserves the concession of regular bail and as such he is ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Disposed of.

February 29, 2016
Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE