

239-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34934-2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 2, 2016

KRISHAN LAL

..... Petitioner

VS

GREATER LUDHIANA AREA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. H.S. Aujla, Advocate,
for N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab
for the respondent No. 1.

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioner,
Mr. H.S. Aujla, submits that he has instructions to state at Bar
that the petitioner has since been acquitted by the learned trial
Court and the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned State counsel assisted by Mr. R.K. Sharma,
Advocate, for respondent No. 2 has not controverted the above
submission for want of proper instructions.

In view of the statement suffered by the learned
proxy counsel for the petitioner, he is permitted to withdraw the
present petition.

Ordered accordingly.

**(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE**