

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 31877 of 2016

Date of decision : September 21, 2016

Bharat and another,

..... Petitioners

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG Haryana

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioners seek grant of regular bail in case FIR No.79, dated 3.3.2016, registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 506, 307 of the IPC and Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act, at Police Station Sector 5, Panchkula.

Counsel for the petitioners has argued that there are five accused who were granted bail vide different orders (Annexure P-2) after noticing that on the previous date, the matter had been adjourned to

enable the eye witness to be examined and that had been done. As per

him, apart from the eye witness now even the complainant has been examined and the petitioners have been in custody since 5.3.2016 and 7.3.2016 respectively.

Learned DAG Haryana, on instructions from HC Didar Singh, has accepted these factual assertions.

In these circumstances, I see no ground to take a different view. Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

September 21, 2016
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No