

CRM-M-31876-2016

Date of Decision : 21.09.2016

Ravinder @ Rabbo

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

Petitioner has been in custody with effect from 01.07.2016.

From the perusal of the record and information supplied by ASI Manoj Kumar, it is apparent that the petitioner is habitual of absenting himself as such, he does not deserve any concession but taking into consideration the fact that though while on bail, he absented frequently but after 01.07.2016, during the period of his detention, out of 30 only three prosecution witnesses have been examined. In such circumstances, he can be granted the concession of bail.

The petition is allowed. It is ordered that petitioner will be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds with two sureties of some heavy amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court subject to the condition that petitioner will not absent himself without any sufficient cause.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**21.09.2016***Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No