

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-30906 of 2015 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 03.05.2016

Panni Ram Arya and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

U.T., Chandigarh

.....Respondents

**BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY**

1. **Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)**
2. **To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Ms. Aarti Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Addl. PP  
for U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. R.S. Kundu, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.**

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 8.12.2015, she has brought a demand draft of ₹ 50,000/- to be paid to the complainant in lieu of some of the alleged dowry articles.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that not only contradictory facts have been mentioned but concealment of facts is also there and the petitioners does not deserve concession of anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for U.T., Chandigarh submits that the complainant has given bills amounting to ₹ 2,70,000/- and some of the

articles have been recovered.

Admittedly, all the allegations levelled in the FIR cannot be considered to be false or correct at this stage as these are matter of evidence, which can be tested during trial but since the husband of the complainant has already been released on regular bail and the petitioners just to show their bona fide have come with a demand draft of ₹ 50,000/- in lieu of alleged dowry articles, interim order passed by this Court on 11.9.2015 is made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioners will deposit a sum of ₹ 25,000/- by way of demand draft with the investigating officer in the name of complainant, which shall further be handed over to her.

The draft of ₹ 50,000/- has been handed over to learned counsel for the complainant in Court and the same has further been handed over to father of the complainant, who is present in Court. A photocopy of the same has also been placed on record. In case the petitioner fails to deposit the draft of ₹ 25,000/- with the investigating officer within a period of two weeks from today, order confirming bail shall stand vacated.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

May 03, 2016  
pooja

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)**  
**JUDGE**