

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31736-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 09.11.2016

Manjit Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sushil Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Baljinder Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in FIR No.43 dated 02.08.2016, registered under Sections 452, 323 and 148 read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Qila Lal Singh, Distt. Gurdaspur.

Heard.

On 08.09.2016, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel contends that injuries attributed to the petitioners are simple in nature. The parties are engaged in civil litigation wherein status quo order has been passed. No case under Section 452 of Indian Penal Code is not made out.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned State counsel, in the Court.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the

ATUL SETHI
06161105443
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1.That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2.That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

3.That they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

Post again on 09.11.2016.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 08.09.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

09.11.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No