

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-31704 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.10.2016

Puranpal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Riffi Birla, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 68 dated 12.05.2016, registered under Section 307 read with Section 34 of IPC and Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Sadar Fazilka, District Fazilka.

The learned counsel for the petitioner refers to Annexure P-1, copy of CFSL report to contend that no fire arm injury was found on the person of complainant/injured Angrez Singh. The injuries are of simple in nature and on non vital parts. The petitioner is in custody since 13.05.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel is unable to controvert the report, however, she states that repeated injuries were

given to the complainant.

The learned counsel for the complainant states that case under Section 307 IPC is made out against the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner in custody since 13.05.2016; the challan stands presented, however, the charges are yet to be framed, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

18.10.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No