

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-30794 of 2015
Date of decision:21.01.2016**

Pawan Goyal

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. T.S. Sangha, Senior Advocate with
Mr. M.S. Virk, Advocate and
Mr. H.S. Sangha, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. D.S. Virk, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Harvinder Singh Johal, Advocate for the complainant.
.....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

This order shall dispose of the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.93 dated 27.06.2015, under Sections 406/420/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sarhali, District Tarn Taran.

While issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed by this Court on 10.09.2015:

“Petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No.93 dated 27.6.2015 registered under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Sarhali, District Tarn Taran.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner himself was a victim as he also suffered a huge financial loss. He further submits that still petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation and also to cooperate with the investigating agency.

Notice to Advocate General, Punjab for 16.10.2015.

At this stage, Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the complainant.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim anticipatory bail to the

satisfaction of arresting/investigating officer subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. At the first instance, petitioner shall join the investigation on or before 17.9.2015 and cooperate with the investigating agency. The petitioner is also directed to join the investigation, thereafter, as and when required by the investigating agency, by issuing a notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Balvir Singh would apprise the Court that the petitioner has since joined investigation. He further submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Accordingly, petition is allowed. Order dated 10.09.2015 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Disposed of.

21.01.2016

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**