

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31692-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.09.2016

Lal Chand @ Lalu and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sanjay Vashisth, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by SI Mohd. Aliyas.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.0135 dated 16.06.2016, registered under Sections 302 and 120-B read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code (for short, 'the IPC') (subsequently converted to Sections 306 and 120-B read with Section 34 IPC), at, P.S. Hassanpur, Distt. Palwal.

Contents that the allegations against the petitioners are only of issuing threat to the deceased. The ingredients of abetment are not made out in this case. Co-accused Dharamveer @ Dharam, who has been attributed similar role, has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court.

Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the instant petition on the ground that the petitioners and their co-accused instigated the deceased to commit suicide.

Heard.

Keeping in view the custody period of the petitioners and the fact that co-accused, Dharam @ Dharamveer, with the similar allegations, has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

However, anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

19.09.2016

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)

JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No