

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**214**

**Criminal Misc. No.M-30786 of 2015**

**Date of decision: April 23, 2016**

Rajinder Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY***

\*\*\*

**1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No**

**2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No**

**3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No**

\*\*\*

Present: Mohd. Shameem, Advocate for  
Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Trishanjali Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

None for respondents No.4 to 6.

\*\*\*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for transfer of the investigation from respondents No.2 and 3 to any independent agency in case FIR No.406 dated 24.06.2015 registered under Sections 307, 498-A and 302 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Rohtak Sadar, District Rohtak.

Notice of motion was issued on 10.09.2015. In response thereto, the reply on behalf of State has already been filed which is on record.

\*\*\*

Learned counsel for respondent-State submits that after completion of the investigation, the challan has been presented against the petitioner and the date fixed before the trial Court is 27.04.2016 for framing of charges.

Keeping in view the stage of the trial, no ground is made out to transfer the investigation. However, the petitioner is at liberty to raise all pleas before the trial Court while framing of the charge or on any other appropriate stage.

Disposed of accordingly.

**April 23, 2016**

sonia gugnani

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE**