

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-31682 of 2016

Date of decision: December 21, 2016

Harpal Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rimpaljeet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard, learned counsel for the petitioners.

In FIR No.65 dated 09.06.2011, under Sections 326, 325, 323, 34 of Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') registered at Police Station Sri Hargobindpur, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur have been prosecuted. I have seen the FIR in question. Indeed the allegations are that the petitioners have caused simple as well as grievous injuries to the complainant.

Now, there is a compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties. I have seen the compromise.

Since, complainant-Balwinder Singh has decided to enter into the compromise, I think it will be of no use to continue the prosecution of the petitioners as the complainant would turn hostile in the Court and thus, the trial would be entire waste.

In that view of the matter, I am inclined to allow the compounding/compromise as per compromise (Anneuxre P-2).

Hence, this petition is allowed and FIR No.65 dated 09.06.2011, under Sections 326, 325, 323, 34 of Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') registered at Police Station Sri Hargobindpur, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur along with all consequential proceedings, are quashed by way of compounding/compromise, qua the petitioners only.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

December 21, 2016

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes
Reportable	:	No