

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-31600 of 2016

Date of Decision: 14.09.2016

Darshan Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr.Vivek Salathia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer made in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.64, dated 02.05.2016 for offence under Sections 306 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station, B-Division, Amritsar (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is a lady and is in custody since 14.06.2016. He further submits that there is no allegation that the petitioner ever abetted the deceased-Manbir Singh to commit suicide. He also submits that trial in the case will take sufficient long time to conclude, as prosecution evidence has yet not been started.

Learned counsel for the State has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner in Court today which is taken on record. He on instructions from ASI-Satnam Singh, does not dispute the custody of the petitioner, however, submits that being the family dispute, the petitioner was one of the person who was instrumental in abetting the deceased-Manbir Singh to commit suicide.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that in the FIR, it is nowhere mentioned that the petitioner has actively abetted the deceased-Manbir Singh to commit suicide, coupled with the fact that the petitioner is a lady and is in custody since 14.06.2016 and trial in the case will take sufficient long time to conclude, as prosecution evidence is yet to start, I deem it appropriate to allow the present petition for grant of regular bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is accepted and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to her furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

It is made clear that expression made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall be at liberty to decide the case on the basis of available evidence.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

14.09.2016
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No