

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3066-2015

Date of Decision: January 11, 2016

Neelam Bhandari and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate, for
Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S. Randhawa, Additional AG, Punjab.

.....

***1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be
allowed to see the judgment?***

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

***3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the
Digest?***

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the State on instruction from ASI
Hari Singh of Police Station, Kotwali, District Patiala, submits that
the petitioner is not required by the local police in any case,

except the cases already registered. He further submits that the petitioner shall not be called or harassed by way of summoning him to the Police Station without exhausting the due procedure of law.

In view of the above, learned proxy counsel for the petitioner submits that he has instructions to withdraw the present petition at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

January 11, 2016
apurva

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE