

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31470 of 2016

Date of Decision: October 22, 2016

Gobind Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Lajpat Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Brar, AAG, Punjab.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The allegations against the petitioner-Gobind Singh in this regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C are that on 4.9.2015 an FIR in the present case was registered against the unidentified person leading to arrest of the present petitioner on 16.10.2015. The contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioner that only semblance of evidence against the petitioner is his extra-judicial confession that too after 18 days of registration of FIR. The factual scenario is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

In view thereof and keeping in view that the very admissibility of evidence as accepted by the State is a debatable issue together with the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the similarly placed co-accused namely Bittu Singh has been allowed the same relief vide order dated 23.2.2016 of this Court, thus in view of the principle of parity and that the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars, without adverting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition for

grant of regular bail is allowed. Petitioner be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed off.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

October 22, 2016
aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No