

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-31394 of 2016

.....

Date of decision:24.10.2016

Krishan Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

v.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

....

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh

.....

Present: Mr. Ajay Shekhawat, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Brijesh Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana for
the respondent-State.

Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate for complainant-respondent
No.2.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of FIR No.601 dated 29.8.2012 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 332, 353, 427 and 34 IPC at Police Station Rohtak City, District Rohtak and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure-P.2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Krishan Dalal on the allegations that while they were on official duty, the accused-petitioners manhandled with their staff and in this manner their digital camera was broken and they also gave threat to life and by picking a

'Danda' they attacked them. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before learned trial Court for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. After doing the needful, learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak has sent his report dated 4.10.2016 submitting that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana and learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal

[3]

prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Therefore, keeping in view the fact that the matter has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.) 543, this petition is allowed and FIR No.601 dated 29.8.2012 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 332, 353, 427 and 34 IPC at Police Station Rohtak City, District Rohtak and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are hereby quashed.

October 24, 2016.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp

NOTE:	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
	Whether reportable:	No