

**Sr. No. 101
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-31391 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.09.2016**

Megh Raj

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Harshit Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking cancellation of the concession of bail granted to the accused, namely, Satwinder Singh @ Money (respondent No.2 herein) in case FIR No.123 dated 16.07.2015, under Sections 364, 307, 397, 398, 323, 341, 34 of Indian Penal Code and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Samana.

The only contention raised by counsel is that after grant of bail to respondent No.2/accused by this Court on 24.05.2016, the complainant, namely, Megh Raj/present petitioner has been threatened on 26.07.2016 by having brandished a revolver. It is further contended that identical threat was also extended to one Rajbir Singh.

The assertions made in the petition are utterly vague. On a pointed query having been raised, counsel concedes that no complaint had been lodged by the complainant Megh Raj as regards the occurrence that allegedly took place on 26.07.2016.

No ground for cancellation of bail is made out.

Petition is dismissed.

08.09.2016

vandana

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No